arXiv:1512.01135v3 [hep-th] 25 Jan 2016

Charged Rényi entropies for free scalar fields

J.S.Dowker[1]

Theory Group,
School of Physics and Astronomy,
The University of Manchester,
Manchester, England

I first calculate the charged spherical Rényi entropy by a numerical method that does not require knowledge of any eigenvalue degeneracies, and applies to all odd dimensions.
An image method is used to relate the full sphere values to those for an integer covering, n. It is shown to be equivalent to a 'transformation' property of the zeta–function.
The $n \to \infty$ limit is explicitly constructed analytically and a relation deduced between the limits of corner coefficients and the effective action (free energy) which generalises, for free fields, a result of Bueno, Myers and Witczak–Krempa and Elvang and Hadjiantonis to any dimension.
Finally, the known polynomial expressions for the Rényi entropy on even spheres at zero chemical potential are re–derived in a different form and a simple formula for the conformal anomaly given purely in terms of central factorials is obtained.

[1] dowker@man.ac.uk; dowkeruk@yahoo.co.uk

1. Introduction and summary.

In a recent work I discussed one aspect of charged spherical Rényi entropy viz. the conformal weights of twist operators. I now turn to the Rényi entropies themselves, again for free fields, in this paper just conformal scalars, extending the work in [1] to arbitrary dimensions. Although the object of the many papers around this topic are results that apply to any conformal field theory, the case of free fields in special geometries is often used as a valuable exemplar of techniques and putative theorems.

The calculation of the entropy, in this case, can be effected by conformal transformation to an orbifolded sphere, as used earlier many times. For *charged* entropies such an approach is described in [1] using a mode method. My analysis differs somewhat.

The entropy is determined from the effective action, or logdet, of the relevant propagating operator. Technically one needs the derivative of the corresponding ζ–function, $\zeta(s)$, at $s = 0$ which requires the eigenvalues. The uncharged eigenproblem is classic and has been given many times. In the next section I detail the charged extension before outlining its application to the entropy, spending most time on the derivation of the effective action and its numerical evaluation the results of which are shown in graphs.

In a following section, an image relation, derived elsewhere, is given and an explicit illustrative example calculated. The image expression is then shown, in the spherical situation, to be a consequence of a 'transformation' property of the ζ–function.

The next section contains a different formulation of the effective action in terms of Barnes' multiple Γ–function, Γ_d, which, for the full sphere in odd dimensions, reduces to an integral involving elementary functions and gives a more explicit dependence on the chemical potential.

A further section has a very brief description of another method involving Γ_d that leads to an integral over Gauss' ψ function.

Next, the limit $n \to \infty$ is analysed where n is the covering integer, and, finally, the Rényi entropy on even spheres is reconsidered and the exact expression derived in a neater form. Also a compact, and easily calculated, formula is obtained for the conformal anomaly.

2. The orbifolded sphere with flux

I deal with the q–orbifolded d–sphere, $\mathrm{S}^d/\mathbb{Z}_q$ which, in order to allow for a chemical potential, has an Aharonov–Bohm flux running between the south and north poles, in either direction equivalently.

The orbifolded sphere is made up of $2q$ segments of apex angle π/q. I refer to these as lunes. The combination of two adjacent lunes I call a *doubled* lune. These tile the sphere under an SO(2) action, neighbouring lunes being related by a reflection.

It is convenient to set $\beta = \pi/q$ and generalise to a lune of *arbitrary* angle. The tiling property is then geometrically lost.

The d–lune can be defined inductively by giving its metric in the nested form,

$$ds^2_{d-lune} = d\theta_d^2 + \sin^2\theta_d \; ds^2_{(d-1)-lune}\,, \quad 0 \le \theta_i \le \pi\,, \quad i \neq 1\,, \tag{1}$$

which is iterated down to the 1–lune of metric $d\theta_1^2$ with $0 \le \theta_1 \le \beta$. The angle θ_1 is referred to as the polar angle and conventionally written ϕ. The angle of the lune (there are two of them) is β. The conical deformation of the sphere can be traced to the deformation of the ϕ circle. This disappears when $\beta = 2\pi$.

The boundary of the lune comprises two pieces corresponding to $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \beta$. The metric (1) shows immediately that these are unit $(d-1)$–hemispheres because *their* polar angle, θ_2, runs only from 0 to π. Conditions, typically Dirichlet and Neumann, can be applied at the boundary. The boundary parts intersect, with a constant dihedral angle of β, in a $(d-2)$–sphere, of unit radius, which constitutes a set of points fixed under O(2) rotations parametrised by ϕ.

It can be seen that the 2–lune submanifold, with coordinates θ_1 and ϕ, has a wedge singularity at its north and south poles. These poles are at $\theta_2 = 0$ and $\theta_2 = \pi$ and are the 0–hemispheres of a 0–sphere. In the d–lune, the submanifolds, $\theta_2 = 0$ and $\theta_2 = \pi$, are the $(d-2)$–hemispheres of the $(d-2)$–sphere of fixed points just mentioned and is the entangling surface.

In the absence of the flux, the mode problem is more or less standard [2]. I consider the propagating operator and eigenvalue equation,

$$\mathcal{O} = -\Delta_2 + c^2$$
$$\mathcal{O}\psi = \Lambda\psi\,, \quad -\Delta_2\psi = \lambda\psi\,, \quad \Lambda = \lambda + c^2\,,$$

[2] For the full sphere it was first given by Green who, for some reason, works in d dimensions at a time when the notion of higher dimensional geometry had yet to be formulated.

where Δ_2 is the Laplacian and c is a constant. The separation of variables follows the nesting structure in (1) and is a classic calculation. The hyperspherical harmonics are labeled by the set of d separation constants l_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. The first one, l_1, is associated with the ϕ eigenvalue equation, and I leave it free for the moment. The remaining constants, l_i, which can be taken as non–negative, are associated with the intervening spheres, S^i. They satisfy,

$$l_i = l_{i-1} + n_i\,, \quad n_i = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty\,, \quad i = 2, \ldots, d\,, \tag{2}$$

with the last one determining the eigenvalue λ by,

$$\lambda = l_d\big(l_d + d - 1\big) = \big(l_d + \frac{d-1}{2}\big)^2 - \frac{(d-1)^2}{4}\,. \tag{3}$$

Iteration of (2) gives

$$l_d = l_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_d\,, \tag{4}$$

In this approach the degeneracy of a particular eigenvalue is given by coincidences as the free labels vary. If l_1 is an integer then the distribution of d integers is involved, otherwise it is that of $d-1$ integers. It will turn out unnecessary to find the degeneracies explicitly, although it is a standard situation.

The calculation of λ devolves upon the constant l_1 coming from the eigenproblem on the deformed ϕ circle with a flux running through, an old question *cf* [2]. I approach this via pseudo–periodic eigenfunctions which satisfy the defining equation, for a complex ψ,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2}\,\psi_{l_1}(\phi) = l_1^2\,\psi_{l_1}(\phi)\,,$$

with the periodicity condition on the *double* lune,

$$\psi(\phi + 2\beta) = e^{2\pi\, i\, \delta}\,\psi(\phi)\,. \tag{5}$$

Then the eigenfunction is,

$$\psi_{l_1}(\phi) \propto e^{i\, l_1 \phi}\,,$$

with

$$l_1 = \frac{\pi}{\beta}\big(n_1 + \delta\big)\,, \quad n_1 = -\infty, \ldots 0, \ldots, \infty\,, \tag{6}$$

and all quantities are periodic in δ with period 1. Furthermore all real physical quantities are unchanged under the reversal $\delta \to -\delta$. As remarked in [3], for complex fields the Green function, as a typical quantity, is $G_{2\beta,\,\delta} + G_{2\beta,\,-\delta}$ where $G_{2\beta,\delta}$ is computed from fields obeying (5). These two conditions require that the periodising unit cell be symmetrical about zero, $-1/2 < \delta \leq 1/2$. My basic approach is to calculate the physical quantities in a unit cell and simply extend them by periodicity.

Generally, one might expect the physical quantity to have singularities at the cell boundary, and its iterates. The present calculation shows that this not so, agreeing with [1]. The unit cell could then be chosen $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

I turn now to the eigenvalues and note that l_1 can be negative but because the Legendre equation for the next spherical function involves l_1^2 it is sufficient to replace l_1 by $|l_1|$ so that the expression for l_d, (4), takes the form,

$$l_d = q|n_1 \pm \delta| + \mathbf{1}.\mathbf{n}\,,$$

where $q = \pi/\beta$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is a $d-1$–dimensional set with $\mathbf{1} = \big(1, 1, \ldots, 1\big)$.

Using (3), the eigenvalue Λ is,

$$\Lambda(\pm\delta) = \big(\frac{d-1}{2} + q|n_1 \pm \delta| + \mathbf{1}.\mathbf{n}\big)^2 - \frac{(d-1)^2}{4} - c^2\,.$$

For conformal invariance in d dimensions,

$$\Lambda(\pm\delta) = \big(\frac{d-1}{2} + q|n_1 \pm \delta| + \mathbf{1}.\mathbf{n}\big)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\,,$$

and the conformal ζ–function for the operator $\mathcal{O}$ is then ($\alpha = 1/2$), after some rearrangement and assuming that $|\delta| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta\big(s, \mu, q \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}\big) &= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \Big[\big(\frac{d-1}{2} + q|n_1 \pm \delta| + \mathbf{1}.\mathbf{n}\big)^2 - \alpha^2\Big]^{-s} \\ &= 2\sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \Big[\big(\frac{d-1}{2} + |\mu| + \boldsymbol{\omega}.\mathbf{n}\big)^2 - \alpha^2\Big]^{-s} \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \Big[\big(\frac{d-1}{2} + q - |\mu| + \boldsymbol{\omega}.\mathbf{n}\big)^2 - \alpha^2\Big]^{-s}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the set of d numbers, $(q, \mathbf{1})$, but can be considered, formally, as real non–negative and referred to as the *parameters* or *degrees*.

I have introduced the chemical potential $\mu = q\delta$. In particular, for the orbifolded sphere, $\mathrm{S}^d/\mathbb{Z}_q$, q is integral, otherwise not. [3] The range of μ is extended by periodicity.

When $\mu = 0$ the first sum corresponds to the Neumann lune and the second to the Dirichlet one.

The factor of 2 in (7) is a consequence of the charged nature of the field and so the effective action (sometimes called the free energy), is,

$$\mathcal{A}_d(q,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta'\big(0,\mu,q \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}\big)\,.$$

Another notation is $\mathcal{A}_{n,d}(\mu) \equiv \mathcal{A}_d(1/n,\mu)$.

A means of calculating the derivative $\zeta'(0)$ is presented in [4] which I will employ later but first I give a numerical method used, *e.g.*, in [5] and valid only in odd dimensions.

Since the references and technicalities of the method are detailed in [5] I feel I can just give the answer which is, after minor manipulation,

$$\mathcal{A}_d(q,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}\int_0^\infty dx\,\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{\cosh(q-2|\mu|)\tau/2\ \cosh\tau/2}{\tau\,\sinh q\tau/2\,\sinh^{d-1}\tau/2} \tag{8}$$

for $\tau = x + iy$ with $y < 2\pi/q$. The integral converges in the relevant range of μ. I just compute it numerically.

In order to compare with results in [1], figure 1 shows the ratio, $\mathcal{A}_{n,d}(\mu)/\mathcal{A}_{n,d}(0)$, of effective actions plotted against chemical potential in three dimensions. Figure 2 has the results for $d = 5$.

Fig.1. ratio of effective actions for d=3



[3] If $q = 1/n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), δ is the flux through the n–fold covering so that δ/n is the flux through a single sheet *i.e.* the chemical potential as defined here, and in [1].

Fig.2. ratio of effective actions for d=5



Fig.3. ratio of effective actions for d=3



3. Images

An image formula given in [3], and further discussed in [6], has the particular case, when expressed in terms of the integer covering effective action (free energy), $\mathcal{A}_n(\mu)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mu) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_1\big(\mu \pm \frac{s}{n}\big)\,, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\,, \tag{9}$$

which can be used to check the numerical work or to obtain the left–hand side from quantities on the ordinary sphere (with a flux). It could be termed a replica relation. The equivalence of the $\pm$ signs is a consequence of periodicity.

It is interesting to spell this out explicitly, the easiest case being dimension three (the only odd one considered in [1]). For this once, I use the explicit degeneracies.

Things simplify on the full sphere, $q = 1 = n$. I define, just for algebraic convenience, the ζ–function occurring in (7) in three dimensions,

$$\zeta(s,a) = \sum_{\mathbf{m=0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left((a+\mathbf{1}.\mathbf{m})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^s} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+2}{2} \frac{1}{\left((a+m)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^s} \,. \tag{10}$$

In odd dimensions there is no multiplicative anomaly, and, factorising the eigenvalue, we reach the auxiliary, or surrogate, ζ–function,

$$\zeta_A(s,w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{(w+m)^s} \,, \tag{11}$$

where $w = a \pm 1/2$ and a takes the two values, according to (7), $a = 1 + |\mu|$, and $a = 2 - |\mu|$. Hence, to spell things out, defining $\overline{\mu} = |\mu| - 1/2$, [4] w has the four values

$$w_p^{(\pm)} = p \pm \overline{\mu} \,, \quad p = 1, 2 \,,$$

showing the symmetry under $\overline{\mu} \to -\overline{\mu}$ so that one need calculate only for the range $0 < \mu < 1/2$.

As is usual in these circumstances the degeneracy is expanded [5],

$$(n+1)(n+2) = (w+n)^2 + e(w+n) + f \,.$$

Hence, $e = 3 - 2w$ and $f = 2 - 3w + w^2$.

The auxiliary ζ–function, (11) then becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(\zeta_R(s-2,w) + e\,\zeta_R(s-1,w) + f\,\zeta_R(s,w)\big) \tag{12}$$

in terms of the Hurwitz ζ–function, as in many earlier works.

The theorem, [4], is that the derivative at $s = 0$ of (10) is

$$\zeta'(0,a) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\zeta_R'(-2,w) + e(w)\,\zeta_R'(-1,w) + f(w)\,\zeta_R'(0,w)\big) \,. \tag{13}$$

This is the same calculation as in [1], only the mode structure and metric are different.

---

[4] I emphasise that μ, here and later, has been periodised as mentioned before.

[5] This is employed in [1].

An easy case is $\mu = 1/2$ for which the coefficients have the values,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \ 2\,, \quad 1\,, \quad 2\ , \quad 1 \\ e &= -1\,, \quad 1\,, \quad -1\,, \quad 1 \\ f &= \ 0\,, \quad 0\,, \quad 0\,, \quad 0\,, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding total auxiliary ζ–function, (13), is,

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_R(s-2,2) - \zeta_R(s-1,2) + \zeta_R(s-2,1) + \zeta_R(s-1,1) \\ &= \zeta_R(s-2) - \zeta_R(s-1) + \zeta_R(s-2) + \zeta_R(s-1) \\ &= 2\zeta_R(s-2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_R(s)$ is the Riemann ζ–function.

Hence for (7)

$$\mathcal{A}_1(1/2) = \zeta'(0,1/2) = 4\zeta'(-2) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}\,.$$

The values of $\mathcal{A}_n(0)$ are old and standard. For example $\mathcal{A}_1(0) = \frac{\log 2}{4} - \frac{3\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2(0) = \frac{\log 2}{4} + \frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^2}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}_2(0) = \mathcal{A}_1(0) + \mathcal{A}_1(1/2)\,,$$

which is the simplest example of the image relation, (9). It can also be derived from the integral (8) using (hyperbolic) trigonometric relations. Further remarks can be found in section 4.

To work from the right–hand side of (9) to the left, the values of $\mathcal{A}_1(w)$ are required and so I return to the expression (13) which is treated numerically. The total ζ–function derivative is

$$\zeta'(0,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,\pm}\left(\zeta'_R(-2,w_p^{(\pm)}) + e(w_p^{(\pm)})\,\zeta'_R(-1,w_p^{(\pm)}) + f(w_p^{(\pm)})\,\zeta'_R(0,w_p^{(\pm)})\right) \tag{14}$$

For the final term the standard result,

$$\zeta'_R(0,w) = \log(\Gamma(w)/\sqrt{2\pi}\,.$$

can be used. The other two derivatives can also be related to Gamma–type functions but it is probably best to treat them numerically, for example from asymptotic expansions (*e.g.* [7]). Of course if just a number is wanted, the integral form, (8), is

far easier, but alternative methods are handy checks and the two methods do agree. This is the end of this specific example of images.

Although the image relation, (9), is fairly general, in the present spherical case it follows as a result of a 'transformation' property of the ζ–function, (7), which is, written symbolically,

$$\zeta\big(s, \mu, q \mid \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{n}\big) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \zeta\big(s, \mu + \sum \frac{t\boldsymbol{\omega}}{n}, q \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}\big)\,. \tag{15}$$

$\boldsymbol{\omega}/n$ stands for the set of d-degrees $\big(\omega_1/n_1, \ldots, \omega_d/n_d\big)$ and

$$\sum \frac{t\,\boldsymbol{\omega}}{n} \equiv \frac{t_1\,\omega_1}{n_1} + \ldots + \frac{t_d\,\omega_d}{n_d}\,. \tag{16}$$

I give the expression in generality as it might be useful for later investigations. For present application, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{1}$ and all the n_i are equal to 1, except $n_1 \equiv n$, the covering integer, so that all the t_i are zero except $t_1 \equiv t$. There is, therefore, only one term in the sum in (16).

The image relation, (15), is derived by Barnes, [8], for the ζ–function

$$\zeta_d(s, a \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a + \mathbf{m}.\boldsymbol{\omega})^s}, \qquad \text{Re } s > d\,, \tag{17}$$

by the simple expedient of introducing residue classes mod $\mathbf{n}$. This can be applied to (7) and the sum in (15) is over these classes.

4. Formal expressions. The Barnes Gamma function

In [4] the auxiliary ζ–function method was derived and applied to general ζ–functions of the type (7). Factorising the denominators in (7) leads to a sum of Barnes ζ–functions (17), with four values for the parameter a,

$$\begin{aligned} a_p^{(\pm)} &= \frac{d+q}{2} \pm \overline{\mu} - p \\ &= b \pm \overline{\mu} - p\,, \quad p = 0, 1\,, \end{aligned}$$

so that $a_1^{(\pm)} = a_0^{(\pm)} - 1$.

The auxiliary ζ–function is then,

$$\sum_{\pm} \sum_{p=0,1} \zeta_d(s, a_p^{(\pm)} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})\,,$$

where the d-degrees are $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (q, \mathbf{1})$, and the derivative of the original ζ–function, (7), reads,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0,\mu,q \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \sum_{\pm}\sum_{p=0,1} \zeta'_d(0, a_p^{(\pm)} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &= \log \frac{1}{\rho^4(\boldsymbol{\omega})} \prod_{\pm}\prod_{p=0,1} \Gamma_d(a_p^{(\pm)} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &= \log \prod_{\pm} \frac{\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(\pm)} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})\, \Gamma_{d+1}(a_1^{(\pm)} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})}{\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(\pm)}+1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})\, \Gamma_{d+1}(a_1^{(\pm)}+1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})} \\ &= \log \frac{\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(+)}-1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(-)}-1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})}{\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(+)}+1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})\Gamma_{d+1}(a_0^{(-)}+1 \mid \boldsymbol{\omega})}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In general, this presents no advantage for actual computation. However for the full sphere, ($q = 1$), it can be taken further to elementary functions.

Dropping the dependence on $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{1}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0,\mu,1) = \log \frac{\Gamma_{d+1}(b+\overline{\mu}-1)}{\Gamma_{d+1}(b-\overline{\mu}+1)} - \log \frac{\Gamma_{d+1}(b+\overline{\mu}+1)}{\Gamma_{d+1}(b-\overline{\mu}-1)}\,, \tag{19}$$

which can be evaluated in terms of standard functions if d is odd. The details are given in [9] and [10] with the result,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0,\mu,1) &= \log\, \mathrm{Sin}_{d+1}(b-\overline{\mu}+1) - \log\, \mathrm{Sin}_{d+1}(b-\overline{\mu}-1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{d!}\int_{b-\overline{\mu}-1}^{b-\overline{\mu}+1} dz\, B_d^{(d+1)}(z)\, \pi\, \cot \pi z\,.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Sin_d is Kurokawa's generalised sine function and the Bernoulli polynomial has the product form,

$$B_d^{(d-1)}(x) = (x-1)(x-2)\ldots(x-d)\,.$$

To recapitulate, (20) gives another, more analytical, formula for the effective action (on a *full* sphere with flux) again showing the explicit dependence on μ. For example, the μ derivative could be found analytically as a function of μ. [6]

Equation (20) provides another means of numerically computing the effective action on the full sphere and the values agree with the previous methods. Unfortunately, it again applies only for odd dimensions. In the next section, I outline

[6] Alternatively, this could be derived directly from the Barnes ζ–functions and then integrated to give (20).

yet one more expression for the effective action which holds for any dimension but involves a transcendental function.

Although these relations appertain just to the full sphere, they can be extended by images to integer coverings.

5. Another Gamma function form

The following development can be found detailed in [9] (with references) and makes use of Barnes' theory of the multiple ψ–function, $\psi_d^{(p)}(z)$ which is the pth logarithmic derivative of the multiple Γ–function, Γ_d. Actually, the only one required is $\psi_d^{(1)}(z) \equiv \psi_d(z)$ since by integration, trivially, the desired ratio in (19) is,

$$\begin{aligned}\log \frac{\Gamma_d(z_2)}{\Gamma_d(z_1)} &= \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \psi_d(z) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} \int_{z_1}^{z_2} dz \left(B_{d-1}^{(d)}(z)\, \psi(z) + Q_d(z) \right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(z)$ is the ordinary ψ–function, if $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{1}$, and Q is an easily obtained polynomial. This equation results from recursion relations.

Since ψ is numerically available, the effective action can be calculated this way for even, as well as for odd, dimensions. I do not pursue this at this time.

6. Charged Rényi entropy

The definition of the Rényi entropy is,

$$S_(\mu) = \frac{n\mathcal{A}_d(1,\mu) - \mathcal{A}_d(1/n,\mu)}{1-n}\,, \quad n = 1/q\,, \tag{21}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_d(q,\mu)$ is the effective action on the d–dimensional space–time deformed by a conical singularity of angle $2\pi/q$ computed in the previous sections.

Figures 4 and 5 show the variation of the Rényi entropy against n, considered as a continuous variable for various chemical potentials.

Fig.4. Renyi entropy d=3



Fig.5. Renyi entropy d=5



7. The $n \to \infty$ limit

For large n, the entropy tends to a constant,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n(\mu) = \lim_{q\to 0} q\mathcal{A}_d(q,\mu) - \mathcal{A}_d(1,\mu)\,, \quad n = 1/q\,. \tag{22}$$

The last term is the full sphere value and can be calculated by the other methods described. There is an explicit integral for the first term and I consider it further, in its own right, by a contour method which leads to a simple closed form.

I use q in preference to n. The first thing to note is that, because of periodicity ($\propto q$), the limit is independent of μ [7] (which is here defined to remain finite as $q \to 0$). All values μ are equivalent to $\mu = 0$ giving, as the limit of (8),

$$\begin{aligned} q \lim_{q\to 0} \mathcal{A}_d(q,\mu) &= -\frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \int_{-\infty+iy}^{\infty+iy} dz \, \frac{\cosh z}{z^2 \, \sinh^{d-1} z} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{d-2}(d-2)} \int_{-\infty+iy}^{\infty+iy} d\tau \, \frac{1}{z^3} \frac{1}{\sinh^{d-2} z} \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A}_d^\infty \, . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

It is possible to carry the calculation forward for any (odd) dimension. For this purpose, as in earlier works, I employ the expansion,

$$\text{cosech}^{\,2r+1} z = \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r)!} \sum_{\rho=0}^{r} (-1)^\rho \, \mathcal{G}_\rho^r \, \frac{d^{2\rho}}{dz^{2\rho}} \, \text{cosech} \; z \, , \tag{24}$$

for odd powers in terms of even derivatives and then integrate by parts. The integer coefficients, $\mathcal{G}_\rho^r$, are known. This results in,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_d^\infty &= \frac{2(-1)^r}{2^{2r+1}(2r+1)!} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{r} \frac{(2+2\rho)!}{2!} \, \frac{\mathcal{G}_\rho^r}{\pi^{2\rho+2}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^l \frac{1}{l^{2\rho+3}} \\ &= -\frac{(-1)^r}{2^{2r+1}(2r+1)!} \sum_{\rho=0}^{r} (2+2\rho)! \, \mathcal{G}_\rho^r \, \frac{\eta(2\rho+3)}{\pi^{2\rho+2}} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $d = 2r + 3$.

The numbers, $\mathcal{G}_\rho^r$, have been tabulated. Some are below,

r	0	1	2	3	4	ρ
	1	1	9	225	11025	0
		1	10	259	12916	1
			1	35	1974	2
				1	84	3
					1	4 ,

giving for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^\infty &= \frac{\eta(3)}{\pi^2} \approx 0.091345371175179 \\ \mathcal{A}_5^\infty &= -\frac{\eta(3)}{24\pi^2} - \frac{\eta(5)}{2\pi^4} \approx -0.0087959392887171 \\ \mathcal{A}_7^\infty &= \frac{3\eta(3)}{640\pi^2} + \frac{\eta(5)}{16\pi^4} + \frac{3\eta(7)}{16\pi^6} \approx 0.0012455025438325 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

[7] A hint of this behaviour can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2. The ripples become smaller and closer, the mean tending to one.

the first of which is given by Klebanov *el al*, [11].

Purely numerically, the integral form (23) is more convenient and, although the integral is suppose to hold only for d integral and odd, in figure 8 the limiting value is plotted against a (continuous) dimension. This interpolates the values, (26).



For zero chemical potential, Bueno, Myers and Witczak–Krempa, [12], have discussed the relation between a corner coefficient, σ_d^n, and the effective action, $\mathcal{A}_d(1/n, 0)$, in the $n \to \infty$ limit. σ_d^n is related to the conformal dimension, h_d^n, of twist operators and so I investigate the connection between h^∞ and $\mathcal{A}_d^\infty$, for odd dimensions. In fact this relation holds, rather trivially, for any (Euclidean) chemical potential because, in the limit, they are all equivalent to zero as a consequence of periodicity.

In [6], using a conformal transformation to a conical space, an expression for h_d^n was derived from the energy density. As shown there, this depends on the integral, (I have already set the chemical potential to zero),

$$W_d(q) = \sin \pi q \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\cosh^d \tau/2} \frac{1}{\cosh q\tau - \cos q\pi} \,, \tag{27}$$

by, for conformal scalars,

$$h_d^n = -\frac{2\Gamma(d/2)}{2^d \pi^{d/2}} \left(W_d(q) - \frac{d-2}{d-1} W_{d-2} \right) . \tag{28}$$

Taking the $n \to \infty$ limit of (27),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q\to 0} W_d(q) &= \frac{2}{q} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\cosh^d \tau/2} \frac{\pi}{\tau^2 + \pi^2} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{q} W_d^\infty \,. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

I likewise define $h_d^\infty = \frac{1}{n}\,\lim_{n\to\infty}\, h_d^n$.

The integral in (29) was encountered, and computed, in [5] in connection with the effective action on a *full* sphere (*i.e.* $q = 1$). It takes the form of a sum of η–functions plus a $\log 2$ term with coefficients involving the $\mathcal{G}_\rho^r$. For completeness, I briefly recall the results.

In terms of the notation in [5],

$$W_d^\infty = 2J(d)\,.$$

To calculate (29), this time one uses the recursion,

$$\text{sech}^{\,2r+1}x = \frac{1}{(2r)!}\sum_{\rho=0}^{r}(-1)^\rho\,\mathcal{G}_\rho^r\,\frac{d^{2\rho}}{dx^{2\rho}}\,\text{sech}\;x\,, \tag{30}$$

and $J(d)$ is found to be (now $d = 2r+1$),

$$J(2r+1) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r}\mathcal{G}_\rho^r\,\frac{(2\rho)!}{(2r)!}\,\frac{\eta(2\rho+1)}{\pi^{2\rho}} + \frac{1}{(2r)!}\mathcal{G}_0^r\;\log 2\,.$$

On taking the conformal combination, $J(2r+1) - \frac{2r-1}{2r}\,J(2r-1)$, one finds, firstly, that the $\log 2$ terms cancel in view of the recursion relation

$$\mathcal{G}_\rho^r = (2r-1)^2\,\mathcal{G}_\rho^{r-1} + \mathcal{G}_{\rho-1}^{r-1}\,, \tag{31}$$

and the rest of the series equals,

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{r}\mathcal{G}_\rho^r\,\frac{(2\rho)!}{(2r)!}\,\frac{\eta(2\rho+1)}{\pi^{2\rho}} - \frac{2r-1}{2r}\sum_{\rho=1}^{r-1}\mathcal{G}_\rho^{r-1}\,\frac{(2\rho)!}{(2r-2)!}\,\frac{\eta(2\rho+1)}{\pi^{2\rho}}\,.$$

Extend the second sum to $\rho = r$ which is possible because $\mathcal{G}_\rho^r$ is zero if $\rho > r$. Then one finds for this combination,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\rho=1}^{r}(2\rho)!\left[\,\mathcal{G}_\rho^r\,\frac{1}{(2r-1)}\;-(2r-1)\,\mathcal{G}_\rho^{r-1}\,\right]\frac{\eta(2\rho+1)}{\pi^{2\rho}}\,\frac{1}{2r(2r-2)!}\\ &=\sum_{\sigma=0}^{r-1}\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{r-1}\,\frac{(2\sigma+2)!}{(2r)!}\,\frac{\eta(2\sigma+3)}{\pi^{2\rho}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

A comparison with (25) shows agreement, to a factor, if one remembers that in (25), $d = 2r+3$. To allow for this, shift r by 1, then

$$\mathcal{A}_d^\infty = \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{2r-1}(2r-1)!}\sum_{\rho=0}^{r-1}(2+2\rho)!\,\mathcal{G}_\rho^{r-1}\,\frac{\eta(2\rho+3)}{\pi^{2\rho+2}}\,, \tag{32}$$

and, from (28),

$$h_d^\infty = -\frac{4\Gamma(r+1/2)}{2^{2r+1}\,\pi^{r+1/2}\,(2r)!}\sum_{\sigma=0}^{r-1}\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{r-1}(2\sigma+2)!\,\frac{\eta(2\sigma+3)}{\pi^{2\rho}}\,. \tag{33}$$

So I find the relation,

$$\begin{aligned} h_d^\infty &= -(-1)^r(2r-1)!2^{2r-1}\,\frac{4\Gamma(r+1/2)}{2^{2r+1}\pi^{r+1/2}\,(2r)!}\mathcal{A}_d^\infty \\ &= (-1)^{r-1}\,\frac{\Gamma(r+1/2)}{2\pi^{r+1/2}\,r}\,\mathcal{A}_d^\infty\,, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and, in particular,

$$h_3^\infty = \frac{1}{4\pi}\mathcal{A}_3^\infty\,. \tag{35}$$

According to [12] the limit, σ_3^∞, of the corner coefficient, σ_3^n, is related to h_3^∞ by $\sigma_3^\infty = h_3^\infty/\pi$ (in three dimensions), and so (35) implies that

$$\sigma_3^\infty = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\mathcal{A}_3^\infty\,. \tag{36}$$

I have thus confirmed, in a rather detailed fashion, the results of [12] who prove this relation for *any* 3d conformal field theory using the mapping to the hyperbolic cylinder. The result was found earlier by Elvang and Hadjiantonis, [13], for free bosons and fermions, from calculated expressions for the σ_3^n.

In [14] a conjecture is made regarding the relation between the corner coefficient and the conformal weight in any dimension. Assuming this relation, a generalisation of (36) can be found, for odd dimensions $d = 2r+1$,[8]

$$\sigma_d^\infty = (-1)^{r-1}\frac{2^{2r-4}}{\pi^2}\left[\frac{(r-1)!}{(2r-1)!!}\right]^2\mathcal{A}_d^\infty\,. \tag{37}$$

As mentioned above, the integral $J(d)$ in (29) occurs in the effective action on the full sphere. I give the expression which is, [5],

$$\mathcal{A}_{2r+1}(1,0) = \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{2r-1}}\big(J(2r+1) - J(2r-1)\big)\,,$$

which shows that the the limiting form of the Rényi entropy, (22), can be written just in terms of the basic integral, $J(d)$.

[8] A similar conclusion holds for even d using the explicit polynomial expression for h_d^n. The details might be presented at a later time.

8. Rényi entropies on even spheres revisited

The Rényi entropy, or, rather, just its universal log coefficient (at zero chemical potential) was computed on even spheres, dimension by dimension, some time ago by Casini and Huerta, [15], using their mapping to the hyperbolic cylinder (see also [11]). In [5] the same quantity was found from the heat–kernel via known expressions for the conformal anomaly for any (even) dimension. All this for scalar fields, to which I am always restricting myself. The answer was given in terms of generalised Bernoulli polynomials, which, although easily obtained, are, perhaps, not conveniently organised for some purposes. In this section I present an alternative arrangement which offers a simplification and extension of known results.

Rather than extracting the log coefficient using a heat–kernel expansion, of one sort or another, I employ the method described in [16] which involves off–shell thermodynamic arguments. The logarithm arises from the divergent integration up to the de Sitter horizon, as opposed to a regularised odd hyperbolic volume or a generic UV cut off.

The Rényi entropy is determined, (21), by the effective action, $\mathcal{A}$. The thermodynamic free–energy, F, is obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by removing the (imaginary) time circle factor,

$$\mathcal{A}\big(q\big) = -\beta\, F = -\int^{\beta} d\beta\, E(\beta)\,, \quad q = 2\pi/\beta\,, \tag{38}$$

where I have introduced the internal energy, $E(\beta)$. The entropy is then just (21) for $\mu = 0$,

$$S_{_q} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_d(1) - q\mathcal{A}_d(q)}{q-1}\,. \tag{39}$$

$E(\beta)$ is found from the integral of the energy density $\langle T_{00}\rangle_{dS}$ on de Sitter, which is given by conformal transformation from that on a conical flat space, derived somewhat earlier. The general structure is,

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle_{dS} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\, P(d,q)\, \frac{(1+Z^2)^d}{2^d Z^d}\,. \tag{40}$$

P is a polynomial in q determined by the field dynamics. The last factor is a geometric one giving the dependence on the radial–like coordinate, Z, of the static de Sitter metric,

$$ds^2 = \frac{4a^2}{(1+Z^2)^2}\left(Z^2 d(t/a)^2 - dZ^2\right) - a^2\left(\frac{1-Z^2}{1+Z^2}\right) ds^2\big|_{(d-2)-sphere}\,. \tag{41}$$

The total energy, E, is obtained by integrating (40) over the spatial section of (41) up to an infinitesimal distance, ϵ, from the horizon, $Z = 0$. The expansion in ϵ then allows the coefficient of $\log \epsilon$ to be extracted.

If the effective action is constructed via the thermodynamic relation, (38), with the energy coming from (40), the log coefficient in $\mathcal{A}(q)$ is found to be, after algebra,

$$\text{logcoeff}\mathcal{A}(q) = (-1)^{d/2} \frac{1}{2^{d-2}\,\Gamma(d/2)} \int^q dq\, \frac{P(d,q)}{q^2} \tag{42}$$

and the coefficient in the entropy follows immediately from (39) if the integral can be found. For this one needs the polynomial, $P(d,q)$, which follows by conformal transformation from the energy density on flat conical space (equivalent to Rindler space) and gives,

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle_{dS} = \frac{(d-1)\Gamma(d/2)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}}\, \frac{q}{\pi} \left(W_d(q) - \frac{d-2}{d-1}\, W_{d-2}(q) \right) \frac{(1+Z^2)^d}{2^d Z^d}\,. \tag{43}$$

Hence the polynomial is (I set $d = 2g$),

$$P(2g,q) = (2g-1)\Gamma(g)\, \frac{q}{\pi} \left(W_{2g}(q) - \frac{2(g-1)}{2g-1}\, W_{2g-2}(q) \right). \tag{44}$$

So far the analysis is the same as in [16]. There, the quantities, W_d, were given as generalised Bernoulli polynomials and the integration in (42) performed dimension by dimension to give, it turns out, the conformal anomaly, in the case of the entanglement entropy. The difference here is that they are computed via a trigonometric sum, which has an image interpretation, derived by Jeffery, [17], see [6,18], which allows the integration to be done explicitly for *all* d.

Jeffery's expression is,

$$\frac{q}{\pi}\, W_{2g}(q) = \frac{2^{2g-1}}{\Gamma(2g)} \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \frac{\Gamma(2i+2)}{2^{2i}}\, A_i^g\, (1 - q^{2i+2})\, \frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \tag{45}$$

where the A_i^g are constants related to central factorial numbers. They vanish if $i \geq g$ and were early tabulated. (See also [19], and below.) [9]

To get the energy density, according to (43), a conformal combination is required,

$$W_{2g}(q) - \frac{2g-2}{2g-1}\, W_{2g-2}(q) = \frac{\pi}{q} \frac{2^{2g-1}}{\Gamma(2g)} \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} \frac{\Gamma(2i+2)}{2^{2i}}\, B_i^g \left(1 - q^{2i+2}\right) \frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \tag{46}$$

[9] The validity of (45) for *any* real q depends on Carlson's theorem. [6] has a few more details.

using $A^{g-1}_{g-1} = 0$ and where the B^g_i are the easily found constants,

$$B^g_i = A^g_i - (g-1)^2\big(A^{g-1}_i - \delta_{i,g-1}\big) = A^{g-1}_{i-1} + (g-1)^2\delta_{i,g-1}\,,$$

upon using the recursion, *e.g.* [20],

$$A^{k+1}_{i+1} = A^k_i + k^2\,A^k_{i+1}\,. \tag{47}$$

The definition of B is such that $B^k_i = A^{k-1}_{i-1}$, except $B^k_{k-1} = 1$.

Then,

$$P(2g,q) = \frac{(2g-1)\Gamma(g)}{\Gamma(2g)}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} 2^{2g-2i-1}\Gamma(2i+2)\,B^g_i\,\big(1-q^{2i+2}\big)\,\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}$$

employing $A^k_{k-1} = 1$ and $B^k_0 = 0$.

There is no term proportional to q^2. This is a consequence of conformal coupling, [3], *i.e.* of the specific combination in (44).

Then the integral in (42) is,

$$\int^q dq\,\frac{P(2g,q)}{q^2} = \\ -\frac{(2g-1)\Gamma(g)}{\Gamma(2g)\,q}\sum_{i=1}^{g-2} 2^{2g-2i-1}\,\Gamma(2i+2)\,B^g_i\left(1+\frac{q^{2i+2}}{2i+1}\right)\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,,$$

and, from (42),

$$\text{logcoeff}\mathcal{A}(q) = \frac{(-1)^g}{q(2g-2)!}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}\Gamma(2i+2)\,B^g_i\left(1+\frac{q^{2i+2}}{2i+1}\right)\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{2^{2i-1}\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \tag{48}$$

with the particular on shell value,

$$\frac{(-1)^g}{(2g-2)!}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(2i)!(i+1)\,B^g_i\;\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{2^{2i-2}\pi^{2i+2}}\,. \tag{49}$$

The log coefficient in the Rényi entropy, (39), can then be assembled,

$$\text{logcoeff}\,S_q = = \frac{(-1)^g}{(2g-2)!}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(2i)!\;B^g_i\left(\frac{q^{2i+2}-1}{q-1}\right)\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{2^{2i-1}\pi^{2i+2}}\,. \tag{50}$$

Casini and Huerta, [15], obtain an expression of similar form, but the constants are different as they come from the heat–kernel on hyperbolic space. A general formula is not given, although the constants are calculated for specific dimensions.

The only unknowns in (50) are the constants, A^g_i. As mentioned, these are tabulated or can easily be found from the recursion, (47), so that (50) can be quickly programmed.

One asset of the form, (50), is that it facilitates the limit $q \to 1$ which gives for the log coefficient of the entanglement entropy, S_1,

$$\text{logcoeff}S_1 = \frac{(-1)^g}{(2g-2)!}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(2i)!(i+1)\ B^g_i\ \frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{2^{2i-2}\pi^{2i+2}}\,. \tag{51}$$

The same as (49).

The heat–kernel approach (or ζ–function) gives an expression for logcoeff S_q in terms of conformal anomalies, which reduces to just the standard round anomaly in the case of $q = 1$. (51) is therefore expected to equal this, as evaluation confirms.

Direct derivations of the anomaly via the ζ–function and expansion of the degeneracy, [21], also produce a sum over Riemann ζ–functions but it would need some manipulation to show formal agreement with (51).

An even simpler form can be obtained by rewriting the generalised Bernoulli form, [22], of the anomaly, $\mathcal{C}$, to give (see [9], [23]) for complex fields,

$$\mathcal{C}_{2g} = \frac{4(-1)^g}{(2g)!}\int_0^1 dt\ \prod_{i=0}^{g-1}\left(i^2 - t^2\right),$$

which can easily be evaluated dimension by dimension. However, use of the coefficients, A^g_i, allows [10] the integral to be done, rather trivially, for *all* d, yielding the compact expression,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{2g} &= \frac{4(-1)^g}{(2g)!}\sum_{j=1}^{g}(-1)^j\int_0^1 dt\, t^{2j}\, A^g_{j-1}\\ &= \frac{4(-1)^g}{(2g)!}\sum_{j=1}^{g}(-1)^j\,\frac{A^g_{j-1}}{2j+1}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

which allows hand calculation, for smallish dimensions, more readily than (51).

The equality of (51) and (52) entails the curious sum rule involving central factorials,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g}(-1)^j\,\frac{A^g_{j-1}}{2j+1} = g(2g-1)\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(2i)!(i+1)\ B^g_i\ \frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{2^{2i-1}\pi^{2i+2}}\,.$$

[10] Relatedly, the coefficients occur when expanding the degeneracy. The connection with the direct ζ–function and hyperbolic cylinder approaches could then be made.

9. Comments

The numerical methods used in this work have the significant advantage that the degeneracies, which can become quite involved, do not appear and therefore need not be expanded. The calculation of [1] uses the explicit forms of these degeneracies leading to a traditional sum of derivatives of Hurwitz ζ–functions, which have still to be numerically evaluated. [11]

Another feature of the present technique is that it allows the covering, n, to be taken continuous. It also allows an explicit treatment of the infinite n limit.

References.

1. Belin,A.,Hung,L-Y., Maloney,A., Matsuura,S., Myers,R.C. and Sierens,T. *JHEP* **12** (2013) 059.
2. Dowker,J.S. and Banach,R. *J. Phys.* **A11** (1978) 2255.
3. Dowker,J.S. *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 3095.
4. Dowker,J.S. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **162** (1994) 633.
5. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.A:Math.Theor.* **46** (2013) 2254.
6. Dowker,J.S. *Conformal weights of charged Rényi entropy twist operators for free scalar fields in arbitrary dimensions* ArXiv:1509.00782.
7. Elizalde,E. *Math. of Comp.* **47** (1986) 347.
8. Barnes,E.W. *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **19** (1903) 374.
9. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.* **A44** (2011) 115402.
10. Dowker,J.S. *Massive sphere determinants* ArXiv:1404.0986.
11. Klebanov,I.R., Pufu,S.S., Sachdev,S. and Safdi,B.R. *JHEP* 1204 (2012) 074.
12. Bueno,P., Myers,R.C. and Witczak–Krempa,W. *Universal corner entanglement from twist operators* ArXiv:1507.06997.
13. Elvang, H and Hadjiantonis,M. *Exact results for corner contributions to the entanglement entropy and Rényi entropies of free bosons and fermions in 3d* ArXiv: 1506.06729 .
14. Bueno,P., Myers,R.C. *Universal entanglement for higher dimensional cones* ArXiv:1508.00587.
15. Casini,H. and Huerta,M. *Phys. Letts.* **B694** (2010) 167.
16. Dowker,J.S. *Entanglement entropy on even spheres* ArXiv:1009.3854.
17. Jeffery, H.M. *Quart. J. Math.* **6** (1864) 82.

[11] The degeneracies are coded into the expression (8) and would emerge, in one form or another, on developing the integral using a contour approach into ζ–functions.

18. Dowker,J.S. *On sums of powers of cosecs*, ArXiv:1507.01848.
19. Dowker,J.S. *Expansion of Rényi entropy for free scalar fields* ArXiv:1408.0549.
20. Steffensen,J.F. *Interpolation*, (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1927).
21. Copeland,E. and Toms,D.J. *Nucl. Phys.* **B255** (1985) 201.
22. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.* **A43** (2010) 445402.
23. Diaz,D.E. JHEP **7** (2008)103.